


Claims 6-11 stand rejected under §112 for indefiniteness. We respectfully traverse. The Examiner asserts that the term "destructible" is vague and confusing as used in the claims because it cannot be reconciled that the sticker is at once "destructible" but can also be removed "without tearing." Applicants traverse this rejection because it is based upon an apparent misreading of claim 6. Claim 6 does *not* say that the sticker can be removed "without tearing," rather, the relevant passage defines "destructible" by stating that the adhesive strength is sufficient to prevent the sticker from being removed without tearing, i.e., the destructible label tears if it is removed:

said label being a destructible adhesive label having adhesive of sufficient strength to prevent removal of said label from said compact disk without tearing of said label; .

Claims 6-11 are rejected under §102(b) as being anticipated by Kahle (US 5,518,325). The use of a destructible label distinguishes Kahle, which does not teach or suggest the use of a destructible label.

Applicants' attorney respectfully solicits a Notice of Allowance in this application. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additionally required fees to deposit account 12-600. Applicants' attorney urges Examiner Fridie to telephone if a conversation could expedite prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,  
**LATHROP & GAGE L.C.**

By:   
Dan Cleveland, Jr. Reg. No. 36,106  
Lathrop & Gage L.C.  
4845 Pearl East Circle, Suite 300  
Boulder, CO 80301  
(720) 931-3012